Toward Efficient Inference for Mixture of Experts

Haiyang Huang, Newsha Ardalani, Anna Sun, Liu Ke Hsien-Hsin S. Lee, Shruti Bhosale, Carole-Jean Wu, Benjamin Lee

NeurIPS, Dec 2024







MoE Performance in Training Scenario

Mixture of Experts (MoE) models with expert parallelism





Lower **training** cost by up to 5x compared to dense Transformer

MoE Performance in Inference Scenario

Mixture of Experts (MoE) models with expert parallelism





Lower **training** cost by up to 5x compared to dense Transformer.

Higher **inference** cost, 15x slower for language models and >3x slower for machine translation.

MoE Inference Latency and Memory Characterizations

- **slower** than equivalent* dense counterparts ۲
- consumes **more memory** than equivalent* dense counterparts •





Dynamic Gating: Less Memory



Sparse dispatch mask is memory consuming

Reduce memory allocation by removing the sparse mask

Dynamic Gating: Less Latency



Dynamic Gating: No More Placeholders



Dynamic Gating: Dynamic All-to-all



Expert Buffering: Reduce Static Memory Usage

Only a subset of experts is activated in each batch



- Store bulk of the expert parameters in main memory
 - → Reduce Parameter Memory
- Maintain *LIFO* cache on GPU that stores activated experts
 - → Mitigate GPU-CPU Latency

Load Balancing: Improve Service Robustness

Imbalanced load on each GPU create memory spikes and bottlenecks



- Estimate expert load from historical activation
- Assign expert based on balancing load

Results

Improved throughput and maximum batch size



Check our paper and code for more information!

Paper

Paper (arXiv version)

Code





